

# HEALTH EQUITY MINI GRANTS

South Carolina Cancer Alliance  
6-month Progress Review

## PURPOSE

To assess the progress towards outlined activity completion and project goals of the the four SCCA Health Equity mini grant recipients

## ACCESS HEALTH LOWCOUNTRY

Original grant was well drafted, with a thoughtful and logical process flow. The specific actions delegated to each month made it easy to see progress and identify challenges; AHLC is on track for a successful program.



- Detailed reporting
- Clear timeline
- Mitigation steps outlined



- Unclear if certain data is being collected

## DARLINGTON FIRST STEPS

The energy and ambition of this project and it's leaders are clear from the goals and activities outlined; however, the project partners are not as motivated and this is reflected in the progress. DFS should be thoughtful in which collaborations and strategies they continue to pursue.



- Lots of activity
- Multiple strategies
- Clear community engagement



- Vague activity plan
- Lack of partner support
- Inputs > Outputs

## NO EXCUSES - PROMISES

This project is focused in both activities and outcomes but has suffered ongoing challenges arising from the COVID-19 pandemic. NEP should focus less on meeting the recruitment goals of the original grant, and instead look for sustainable strategy changes that will improve participation and engagement among their target population.



- Specific goals and targets
- Events held as outlined
- Mitigation steps outlined



- Unrealistic participation expectations and recruitment goals
- Need supporting data

## USC COLLEGE OF NURSING

Project was straightforward as written in grant proposal, but lacked specific activities; this is reflected in monthly progress reports, which are sparse and provide little information.



- Relevant project
- Realistic timeline



- Lack of documentation